



Zoning Board of Appeals – Town of Spencer

Minutes

Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting
Tuesday, February 9, 2016 at 7:15 PM
McCourt Social Hall
Memorial Town Hall

Zoning Board Members Present: Dee Kresco, Allan Collette and Robert Emerson

Zoning Board Member Absent: None

Staff present: Monica Santerre-Gervais, Clerk & Larry Smith, Town Planner

1. Open Meeting – Mr. Emerson opens the meeting at 7:25pm
Moved continued Special Permit request for Paul Vandale to the first item of business.

2. Continued Special Permit: Applicant/Owner: Paul Vandale. Location: 20 Sherwood Drive, Spencer Assessor's Map R53-33. The applicant is requesting a Special Permit under Sections 4.2.A.6 of the Spencer Zoning Bylaw applying for an accessory apartment. The property is located within the rural residential zoning district.

Mr. Smith said the applicant is requesting a continuance.

Paul Vandale, 20 Sherwood Drive, addressed the board to ask for a continuance due to the fact that he has been unable to meet with the building inspector.

MOTION: Mr. Collette motioned to continue the hearing until the next meeting which will take place on March 8th, 2016 at 7:15pm

SECOND: Ms. Kresco

DISCUSSION: None

VOTE: 3-0

3. Special Permit – Applicant: ZPT Energy Solutions, LLC. Owner: 123 Kids, LLC Location: Sunset Lane/ Holmes Street, Spencer Assessor's Map R28/26-35. The applicant is requesting a Special Permit under section 4.2.B.3 (Utility/Major) applying for a "major utilities" ground solar farm site. The property is located within the Suburban Residential zoning district.

Ms. Kresco read aloud the application description.

Brendan Gove, ZPT Energy Solutions, was present for the meeting and discussed the idea to put in a 1 megawatt solar array on Sunset Lane/ Holmes Street in order to consolidate the subdivision. Typical questions addressed were that there are no hazardous materials in the solar farm. How the noise inverter is 45-65 decibels similar to a window air conditioner. Mr. Gove mentioned that abutters can visit the State of Massachusetts web page for further information on solar questions.

William Hannigan, Hannigan Engineering, addressed the Zoning Board of Appeals to go over the new plans submitted. Mr. Hannigan went over the plan to show where the school was and where the proposed subdivision was supposed to go, and where the wetlands were located. In addition, the solar array will face east west, there will be a perimeter fence all around, and they will keep a lot of woods to help with screening. During the construction there will be traffic in order to clear/prepare the lot and install the components. There was much discussion about detention basins. They are trying to utilize the current outlet structures, the berm is 2 feet lower and may raise it a foot, and will fix the berm. There will be motion activated lighting at the site; however, it should be far away enough from the abutters where they wouldn't be affected by the lighting. Mr. Hannigan addressed 19 Sunset Lane in regards to raising the grade and highlighted where the panels would be, and the screen would be maintained for the life of the project. Also, Mr. Hannigan explained the same for 20 Sunset Lane. They will try to have the tops of the trees higher than the panels for additional screening and the Zoning Bylaw does allow solar farms in residential areas with proper screening, they will notify abutters when construction will take place, there is enough room where they would be able to store equipment on site, and the construction will take place at the lowest point to the highest. Along the northern side of the site they are planning to raise the grade by four feet and on top of that will plant a row arborvitae and hemlock trees.

Mr. Gove mentioned they are hoping to start the construction in the summer of this year. Mr. Emerson asked about what type of fence would be used for screening. Mr. Hannigan said the fence will be 6ft max with a 6" gap at the bottom of the fence per Conservation Commission's recommendation, galvanized fence, with wooded area being seen before the fence. Mr. Smith asked about the available parcel and if it will be built on. Mr. Hannigan said it does have enough land that it could be built on. Mr. Smith asked about the abutting parcel to the South East and Mr. Gove mentioned it was State Forest. Mr. Hannigan came up to explain more of where the panels will go and mentioned that Jim Laney will need to go back to the Planning Board to revise the subdivision plan. Mr. Smith asked about the cul-de-sac off of Holmes Street and Mr. Hannigan said it will stay the same. Mr. Smith asked if the vacant lot was included and Mr. Hannigan said there was no screening on that lot because there will be an easement with a 4 ft high berm. Mr. Smith and Mr. Hannigan discussed why the screening/easement would be on the vacant lot and not on the solar farm property. Mr. Hannigan will look into putting the screening on the solar farm property. Mr. Smith asked if the maintenance plan would be on the management plan. Mr. Hannigan spoke about having to make revisions and they are waiting for comments from stormwater and planning. Mr. Smith asked what will happen to the vacant lot and the dead end street. Mr. Laney said the road has not been accepted yet and he will not build on the vacant lot.

Mr. Smith asked about the ground cover and Mr. Hannigan answered that they are waiting on Margaret Washburn in regards to a specific blend they need to use because the solar blend, GeoPrene/Soil, is not acceptable. Mr. Collette asked about the length of staging, where the gate is, where trucks will be prior to the opening of the gate. Mr. Hannigan said the lot is open to have the staging of trucks. Mr. Collette asked how long, 2-4 months? Mr. Gove explained there would be 1,000 panels a day with weather permitting; in order to clear the land it would take 2-4 weeks. Also, Mr. Hannigan explained that when the panels go in the anchors and fence will already be present. Mr. Collette asked about the gate and how many people will be onsite after

the solar arrays are put in and Mr. Gove said 1-2 guys just 1 truck. Mr. Smith asked what happens to the staging area after the solar farm is installed. Mr. Hannigan said it would be loamed and seeded. Mr. Smith asked what type of plant covers. Mr. Hannigan replied they would let them grow up, could talk more at the tech review meeting. Mr. Collette asked how far up from the far end will the arrays be. Mr. Gove said 6 ½ ft off the ground on the high end and the low end would be 30". Mr. Collette asked if the panels would be visible from the intersection and Mr. Hannigan said yes.

Mr. Emerson opened the hearing to the public:

Jim Blodgett, 42 Sunset Lane, asked what will happen to Holmes Street. Mr. Hannigan said there will be no construction on Holmes Street. Mr. Blodgett said they haven't finished the road or the guardrails. Mr. Laney said he will finish the punch list for the road. Mr. Blodgett came up to the plan to talk about drainage and basins. Mr. Hannigan said there is already drainage but can look into it, they will look into discontinuing the basin, and he is unsure of the collection area. Mr. Blodgett asked about connections and Mr. Hannigan responded the interconnection would be through the property through to the school. Marlene Grigarauskas, 18 Sunset Lane, is looking for the applicant to provide hazardous materials reports and MSDS sheets, which, Mr. Gove answered that there is no hazardous materials and will provide paperwork/reports for her. Ms. Grigarauskas asked about the construction traffic and Mr. Hannigan answered they will have a tech review to figure out the best route for the traffic to try not and damage the road. Ms. Grigarauskas asked who owned the property and Mr. Hannigan answered Jim Laney. Ms. Grigarauskas wants the applicant to stay to the proposed 2-4 month construction time. Mr. Gove said they could run into issues, connection delays can happen, and it's in ZPT best interest to get the project done quickly. Mike Haynes, 9 Sunset Lane, spoke about how when the lots were dug up they ran into a lot of issues with rocks, shifting of the land, blasting, and whom is responsible for property damages. Mr. Hannigan said they are anticipating doing blasting and there are blasting standards they must adhere to and will work with the Spencer Fire Department. Mr. Haynes was upset about possible damage to his home and Mr. Hannigan said they cannot do open blasting and doesn't expect damages to his home. Mr. Collette asked if there will be drilling. Mr. Hannigan said there could be but they can drill into the ledge. Ms. Grigarauskas said the abutters are all against it. Mr. Smith answered that all abutters need to come in and speak for themselves and those that are present should stand up and say something.

Nancy Charbonneau, 15 Sunset Lane, spoke that she enjoyed the woods and does not want the solar farm. Mr. Smith said it is allowed by Special Permit in the zoning. Mr. Blodgett said the subdivision was never finished and Mr. Laney is still doing work. Ms. Grigarauskas commented that a solar farm should not be in residential areas. Mr. Gove talked about the positives including taxes, zero cost to the school district, additional tax revenue, and electrical discounts to the community. Ms. Grigarauskas would rather the solar company buy their homes than get a discount. Ms. Charbonneau said her property value will go down. Mr. Smith asked about screening issues and clarifications for abutters. Mr. Hannigan said he will try to refigure drainage to enhance buffer. Mr. Blodgett asked if the applicant will come back with revisions and Mr. Collette answered they will have a new plan with the revisions for the next meeting. Mr. Smith said the continued hearing will take place on March 8th, 2016. Mr. Smith asked the butters if there are only aesthetic issues. Ms. Charbonneau feels it's that with a depreciation of their homes. Mr. Collette said they cannot say no because it is allowed. Ms. Grigarauskas said Mr. Laney just wants to dump the project but the abutters don't want to look at it. Mr. Smith

commented that he owns the land and he can propose what he wants with the property. Mr. Hannigan said he listened to the abutters concerns and will try to address them.

Jason LaTour, 8 Treadwell Drive, discussed that the applicant has only addressed two of the Zoning Bylaws 7.2.3 findings and has avoided 7.2.3.C “The proposed use will not impair the integrity or character of the district or adjoining zones nor will it be detrimental to the health, safety or welfare of the neighborhood or the Town.” We are the abutters, we are the taxpayers, and we are ones that have to deal with the solar farm. Solar farms should not be able to be accepted in a residential area like a single family home. The integrity of the neighborhood will be affected. How can the Planning Board approve this when it will change the character of the neighborhood? Mr. LaTour continued to say that he supports the community and would rather have his taxes raised because the solar farms are getting out of hand and he has been dealing with a large one near his home for three years. Mr. Hannigan said they need approval/ permits from Planning Board, Zoning Board of Appeals, and the Conservation Commission; the abutters and the board’s comments/concerns will be addressed. Mr. LaTour talked about the standard operation procedure and why unfinished plans can be approved. Mr. Smith said the plans usually all get submitted at the same time because it benefits the boards. Mr. LaTour comments that things are not being applied for in the right order, citing sections 7.2 & 7.4 of the Spencer Zoning Bylaw. Mr. Smith commented that the bylaws are not necessarily formatted in chronological steps. Mr. Collette said they do not have to have a complete plan. Mr. LaTour mentioned that a completed plan would be nice to see. Mr. Hannigan said all the plans submitted to all the boards and with the comments and concerns they will make a new plan to address everything.

MOTION: Ms. Kresco motioned to continue the public hearing until March 15, 2016

SECOND: Mr. Collette

DISCUSSION: None

VOTE: 3-0

4. Continued Special Permit – Applicant: Jenner Renewable Holdings (Solar), Inc, Owner: Douglas Mullens. Location: Treadwell Drive, Spencer Assessor’s Map **R30/52**. The applicant is requesting a Special Permit under Sections 4.2.B.3 of the Spencer Zoning Bylaw applying for a “major utilities” ground solar farm site. The property is located within the rural residential zoning district.

Mr. Emerson opened the hearing at 8:34

Hem Shanker, Renewable Solar, started the meeting with commenting on the site walk and how they had meet with one of the abutter, Audrey Leblanc on 18 Treadwell Drive, and have come to the conclusion that they will purchase Ms. Leblanc’s home and use her property for the solar farm. Mr. Shanker handed out new plans to reflect the solar panel set up. Frank Biccheri, Bertin Engineering, said their plan now is to combine all three lots and have them under common ownership and will move the arrays back. Mr. Shanker explained they would move the fence to screen the road and the abutters. Mr. Smith mentioned that by combining the lots it will take care of the frontage issue. Mr. Collette asked about the natural buffer. Mr. Shanker said they will not remove that and will keep the trees. Mr. Smith asked what was on the other side of Treadwell and Mr. Biccheri said there was an abandoned house. Mr. Smith asked if there will be

more screening for the road. Mr. Shanker said they will address that at the Planning Board. Mr. Smith asked about the status of the vacant house and it was discovered no status. Mr. Emerson made comment that the house is not repairable. Mr. Collette asked where the right of way is. Mr. Shanker came up to the board to show the right of way, mentioned all wetlands have been delineated, and the grade is decreased when approaching the site. Mr. Biccheri said they are proposing the fence line at the center of the cart path. Mr. Collette had concerns about the permanent markers and currently there are flags on trees, they may blow away or could be easily moved, and suggested abutters take pictures of where the markers are. Mr. Shanker assured they will keep away from wetlands and stay where they need to be. Mr. Collette asked if the front gate will stay where it is and Mr. Shanker replied yes. Mr. Collette mentioned that there is an improvement with Ms. Leblanc's property to have a staging area.

Ms. Kresco asked about 8 Treadwell at the bottom of the hill. Mr. Biccheri mentioned that the detention basin will be larger. Mr. Collette asked about the screening to the right of the property. Mr. Shanker said there will be a mix with the white fence and bigger trees, and can revisit that with the Planning Board. Mr. Biccheri mentioned they did not want to go before the Planning Board without getting the Zoning Board of Appeals approval. Mr. Emerson made comments that the vegetation needs to change and they do not want them to plant trees that take up to 25 years to grow. There was some discussion about the new layout of the panels and the addition of more panels. Mr. Shanker mentioned that they needed to add more panels in order to purchase Ms. LeBlanc's home. Mr. Collette said there needs to be more screening right up to the street. Mr. Smith discussed how the performance standards and the finished plans submitted had no details addressing those concerns.

Mr. Emerson opened the meeting to the public.

Peter Strand, 6 Treadwell Terrace, asked why they are moving panels closer to the road when they originally asked to push the panels back. The detention basins are too small, the topography is wrong, the plans are not completed, and his home will get flooded. Mr. Collette said it is part of the process and a few details will get worked out. Mr. Strand said the hills are incorrect and the drainage calculations need to be redone, the characteristics of his home and property are going to be affected, and the new plans should have been submitted before the meeting. In addition, Mr. Strand asked how the abutters can be prepared for the meeting if they are not able to review plans and the plans are incomplete. Mr. Emerson said the issues will fall under the Conservation Commission. Mr. Strand said these solar arrays should not be considered farms because they are power plants and should not be in residential neighborhoods. Mr. Collette said he wants to see a final plan addressing the fencing and drainage needs. There was much more discussion about fencing, screening, and plants/trees to plant. Mr. Strand made comments that the deer will chew away the recommended trees. Mr. Smith said the Zoning Board of Appeals shouldn't be designing the plan for the applicant and that the Board should tell the applicant their performance requirements for screening and then the applicant should come back with a design for their approval. He noted that it is extremely difficult to explain a re-design of the plan through a condition as it would need to be explained to a high level of detail, and the current plans don't show what the board needs. He noted that, when a permit is approved, everybody, including the Board, the Applicant and the abutters, should be going home all knowing exactly what was going to be built and that's why it should be shown on the plan. You're not getting that by just issuing a condition to be addressed in the future.

Mr. Collette said they need more detail and planting distance. Mr. Emerson said they can make conditions with the Special Permit. Mr. Bicchieri said they could have surety in place, however, Mr. Smith did not feel that was the best way to handle the planting responsibilities. Mr. Collette mentioned the applicant could come back with screening plan. Mr. Emerson decided to draft up conditions for the Special Permit.

MOTION: Mr. Collette made a motion to accept the Special Permit to Jenner Renewable Holdings (Solar) to construct major utilities ground solar farm located on Treadwell Drive with the following conditions and findings:

Condition:

That a vegetative barrier be put in place parallel to the fence along the west property of 14 Treadwell Drive at the first to second intersecting solar panel to the tenth row of panels starting from the street. Extending that vegetative barrier should consist of plants no less than 4' in height at the time of planting and should have a growth potential of at least 12', should be of deer resisting evergreen varieties known to be hardy in this area, and be planted no more than 5' apart for the entire length of the property line (approximately 250' starting at Treadwell Drive). Keep natural vegetation at the back of properties.

Findings:

After review the board has found that the applicant does not show dis-harmony with the town bylaw, it is within proper use of the land, has demonstrated it will not interfere traffic, egress proper, design proper, and the use will not impair the character of the district or the adjoining zones, that after construction solar panels will be screened and out of general view.

SECOND: Ms. Kresco

DISCUSSION: None

VOTE: 3-0

5. Continued Special Permit – Applicant: ZPT Energy Solutions, LLC, Owner: Daniel Lemanger. Location: 19 Woodchuck Lane, Spencer Assessor's Map U16/54. The applicant is requesting a Special Permit under Sections 4.2.B.3 of the Spencer Zoning Bylaw applying for a "major utilities" ground solar farm site. The property is located within the suburban residential zoning district. Combined with Applicant: ZPT Energy Solutions, LLC, Owner: Blair Enterprises, LLC. Location: 48 Paxton Road, Spencer Assessor's Map U17/1&2. The applicant is requesting a Special Permit under Sections 4.2.B.3 of the Spencer Zoning Bylaw applying for a "major utilities" ground solar farm site. The property is located within the rural residential zoning district.

Mr. Emerson reopened the public hearing at 9:48pm.

Brendan Gove, ZPT Energy, was present for the meeting and explained again they were looking for a special permit for a solar array on two parcels on 15 acres of land. William Hannigan, Hannigan Engineering, explained they now will have adequate frontage because they will be buying lots on Donnelly Road, however, the access road will remain the same. Mr. Smith explained that the Spencer Zoning Bylaws says they need to have adequate frontage but doesn't specify that they necessarily have to use that frontage for their access. Mr. Collette asked if they only needed 200 feet. Mr. Smith said no because they have two lots. Mr. Hannigan explained

they would need to have an ANR accepted to add the land and will need to change the property line. Currently, there is significant amount of distance from the abutters and they will keep the fence around the basin. They looked into changing the basin to appease abutters and according to the Conservation Commission they cannot. They will place “no trespassing” sign and other warning signs. Mr. Hannigan highlighted on the plan to show the grade, tree line, and discussed how the fence will screen the solar array. Mr. Smith asked how a chain link fence is enough screening and Mr. Hannigan answered that the trees would cover most of it. Mr. Collette asked what the distance from the fence to the property line and Mr. Hannigan said 425-450 ft. There was discussion about the trees on abutter’s properties, property lines, and distances.

Mr. Collette asked about the width of the access road and Mr. Hannigan said 14’ wide, at entrance 25 feet, and it goes up 350 feet. The access road will not be a permanent driveway and will maintain the mowing. Mr. Collette asked if the gate would be at the road and Mr. Hannigan said the gate is up higher and the access road will be used for staging construction vehicles and at the beginning of the access road will have a sign with contact information. Ms. Kresco asked about the access road and if it would be gravel and Mr. Hannigan said it would be grass after construction. Mr. Collette asked if the power would go into the school and Mr. Hannigan said that it will come out Paxton Road and Mr. Gove said that the power come out to the grid and dispersed from there. Mr. Hannigan said there is three phase on Paxton Road. Mark Eckleberry, 44 Paxton Road, said there is three phase on the access road and why the connection cannot be made on the access road. Mr. Gove said the poles are over Paxton Road, the wires are over trees, and would look into using existing poles. Mr. Hannigan explained they would look into connecting underground but it could take more time. Mr. Smith said it would be preferred and Mr. Gove said it could take months to get approval from National Grid. Mr. Collette asked about the perforated line shown on the plan and Mr. Hannigan said it was an easement and may become a property line.

Mr. Emerson opened the meeting to the public.

Sheila Grant, 38 Paxton Road, asked what a detention basin looked like. Mr. Hannigan answered that it looked like grass with some stones, some hay bales during construction. Ms. Grant asked about seeing the panels. Mr. Hannigan said they will be required for standards for screening and they would be leaving the trees. Mr. Smith said the fence is not a screening fence and it’s only used for security. Ms. Grant said she does not want to see the solar panels. Mr. Smith asked if there was anything they could plant to help with the screening. Mr. Gove said they could do privacy slats or low growth plants. Mr. Hannigan said they were willing to look into privacy slats and put them in selected locations and there would be vegetation around the westerly portion. Mr. Smith queried about planting vines and having them climb on the fence to provide a more naturalized looking screening.

Mr. Eckleberry asked how many panels per truck per delivery. Mr. Gove answered 24 Panels per pallet and 30 pallets per truck, about 600 panels per truck. There was more discussion in regards to the traffic on Paxton Road and the construction trucks having a staging area on the access road. Mr. Gove read aloud the letter he submitted to address the issues with construction trucks during school areas. Mr. Eckleberry handed out pictures with voltage signs and fences that he put together to show what the site will look like and mentioned that they will obstruct traffic, there will be issues with the school. In addition, he is concerned how the applicant doesn’t have to use his frontage for an access road and how many solar farms will they allow in

neighborhoods. Mr. Collette asked about the timeline for construction. Mr. Hannigan said it could be different due to the changes with the construction sequence, each site will be built at different times, and it could take up to 3-6 months. Mr. Eckleberry said that Treadwell Solar took three years. Mr. Hannigan said Treadwell went through different hands of ownership and needed funding and that solar farm is 100 acres compared to their proposed 15 acres solar farm. Mr. Eckleberry said that the Treadwell construction workers disturbed the neighborhood and asked how they can get around the frontage issue. Mr. Hannigan said that the requirements for frontage have been met but they still need to go through the other boards for approval. There was discussion about hours of operation during school hours, afternoon, weekends, and non-school days. Mr. Eckleberry was concerned after seeing Wilson Street solar farm and now this one. Mr. Hannigan said that they are pushing their project back and providing more screening than the Wilson Street site.

Mr. Collette asked how many houses does the solar array power. Mr. Gove said about 150 houses. Mr. Eckleberry exclaimed he does not want the wires surrounding his house. Mr. Collette asked about the tax break. Mr. Gove said \$36,000-\$40,000 per year. Mr. Emerson pointed out that this solar farm is the least obtrusive and best screened, they may run into conservation issues and the main concern would be the traffic congestion due to the narrow road. Ms. Kresco mentioned no weekend construction or only Saturday to give the neighborhood a break. Mr. Gove said they are hoping for a seven day week work schedule. Mr. Eckleberry asked if there would be dynamite needed. Mr. Hannigan said they did not anticipate any blasting. Mr. Smith and Mr. Gove discussed the hours of operation because Mr. Gove would like to work a 7 day week. It was agreed that when school is in session: Monday - Friday deliveries will be between 8:30 am- 2:00 pm, Regular (non-school hours); the hours of operation would be 6:30am -7:00 pm with no equipment starting until 7:00 am on Mondays-Fridays, and on Saturday & Sunday the hours of operation would be 7:30 am-1:00 pm with no equipment starting until 8:00 am.

MOTION: Ms. Kresco made a motion to close the public hearing

SECOND: Mr. Collette

DISCUSSION: None

VOTE: 3-0

MOTION: Mr. Collette made a motion to grant ZPT Energy the two special permits for 48 Paxton Road and 19 Woodchuck Lane for a “major utilities” ground solar farm under section 4.2.B.3 under the Spencer Zoning Bylaw with the following conditions and findings:

Conditions:

Fence screens will be planted in the form of vines every 15' at the base of the chain-link fence on the westerly side of both parcels (19 Woodchuck Lane & 48 Paxton Road). The applicant will ensure that the adequate screening be provided as needed to assure signs blockage of the solar panels to the abutters on Paxton Road.

Hours of operation:

Monday – Friday: 6:30am -7:00 pm with no equipment starting until 7:00 am

When School is in session: deliveries are restricted to between the hours of 8:30 am- 2:00 pm

Saturday & Sunday hours- 7:30 am-1:00 pm with no equipment starting until 8:00 am

Findings:

- A. The use is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of this Bylaw and any applicable subsections herein.
- B. The proposed use will not create undue traffic congestion nor unduly impair pedestrian safety.
- C. The proposed use will not impair the integrity or character of the district or adjoining zones nor will it be detrimental to the health, safety or welfare of the neighborhood or the Town.

SECOND: Ms. Kresco

DISCUSSION: None

VOTE: 3-0

6. Approval of Minutes:

- **January 12, 2016**

MOTION: Ms. Kresco motioned to accept the amended minutes.

SECOND: Mr. Collette

DISCUSSION: None

VOTE: 3-0

7. Adjournment: with no further discussion the meeting is adjourned

MOTION: Ms. Kresco

SECOND: Mr. Collette

VOTE: 3-0

Submitted By: Monica Santerre-Gervais, ODIS Clerk

Approved by the Zoning Board of Appeals on: **March 8, 2016**

List of Documents used on February 9, 2016:

2-3-2016 ZBA mailing

Items mailed to ZBA members prior to meeting:

- Agenda
- Memo from Larry Smith dated 2/2/16
- Minutes from 1/12/16
- Special Permit plans and application for ZPT Energy, Solar farm request, Sunset/Holmes
- New plans for Paxton/Woodchuck Solar Farm dated

Items submitted to ZBA members at the meeting:

- New Treadwell Solar Plans dated 02/09/16 submitted by Jenner Renewable Solar
- Request for extension letter for Paul Vandale
- Letter from ZPT Energy in regards to site delivery times for Sunset/Holmes, Paxton Road, and Woodchuck Lane dated 2/4/16

- Option 1 plan for Paxton/Woodchuck Solar in regards to frontage from Hannigan Engineering, dated 1/14/16.